

Meeting Notes
PSFE Subgroup - Element Draft
February 26, 2007 – 10:30 a.m.

Attendees: Ginny Haller, Bob Bray, Larry Pflueger, Steve Fairchild, Gordon, Liz, Chelsea and Susan

Policy 1.1.3 – School District’s main concern in safety – that’s why they want to know. Need to further define “infrastructure” ... How about “advance of projects that will negatively impact vehicular or pedestrian access to public schools ...”

OBJECTIVE 1.2 – Gordon talked to DCA regarding meeting school concurrency. Gordon has new verbiage for this objective.

Regarding “District-wide Level of Service Standard.” Steve Fairchild will contact both Marshall Touchton and Frank Frail and report back to the sub-group.

Policy 1.2.3 – Gordon has new verbiage incorporating 9J5

Policy 1.2.9 – discussion of timeframes/dates – all seems okay currently, unless LOS falls – Municipalities and County see the School Board’s CIP 30 days before the Board votes so municipalities and County know what’s coming’ Everything should be okay – date specific.

Policy 1.2.12 – need to break this policy into two separate:

Policy 1.2.12 – “If the School District Concurrency Services Area(s).”

Policy 1.2.13 – “If the School District determines ... by LOCAL GOVERNMENT.”

Renumber 1.2.13 through 1.2.16 appropriately.

New Policy 1.2.14 – should the local governments be involved in negotiations. Change the order from “binding development mitigation agreement between LOCAL GOVERNMENT, the applicant and the School District” to “binding development mitigation agreement between the applicant, the School District and the LOCAL GOVERNMENT”. Also change “The applicant and the School Board shall attempt to negotiate ... to this agreement.” to “The applicant, the LOCAL GOVERNMENT and the School Board shall attempt to negotiate a development mitigation agreement.”

Policy 1.4.3 – “coordinate with Pinellas County in the ...” – insert Planning Department after Pinellas County. And change “... impact of resident development on available school capacity” to “... impact of resident approvals and development approvals on available school capacity”

Gordon to email Mike McDaniel re: reference ILA
by reference rather than rollover.

Policy 2.1.2 – Gordon to check with pilot programs to see how they treat charters schools. In Pinellas County charter schools are included in FISH and capacity. Also might need to look at alternative schools, exceptional schools (e.g. Bayside High School) and C-3 schools. Should they be rolled in?

Policy 2.1.3 – Add CRAs? Paul Geisz suggested adding Central Business Districts? What about Industrial Limited rather than Industrial?

Policy 2.1.5 (8) – Leave this the way it was

OBJECTIVE 2.2 – Gordon to re-write adding safety

Policy 2.2.1 – make reference to the ILA

Policy 2.3.1 – reword – what’s the impact on local government?

Policy 3.1.1 – delete the word “identify”. Go from GOAL perspective not specifics. Maximize safer routes/identify routes/identify problems – gaps in walk routes

Policy 3.1.2 – Steve Fairchild will write regarding inclusion of STEPS/STSC 24-hour turnaround/school specific/target problems/improve. Also STSC has important input.

Policy 3.1.3 – Liz will rewrite.

Corrections/edits will be done and emailed to each of you prior to full committee meeting.