

DATE: March 22, 2011 AGENDA ITEM NO. 15

County Administrator's Signature

Subject:

Approval of Ranking of Consultant Selection - Engineering Consultant Services for St. Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport Contract No. 101-0030-CN (AM)

Department:

Staff Member Responsible:

Airport / Purchasing

Noah Lagos / Joe Lauro

Recommended Action:

I RECOMMEND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (BOARD) APPROVE THE RANKING OF FIRMS AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT WITH EACH OF THE TOP EIGHT (8) RANKED FIRMS FOR ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (AIRPORT) ON A CONTINUING BASIS AS OUTLINED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL.

Summary Explanation/Background:

On January 7, 2011, in accordance with the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA) requirements, the Purchasing Department on behalf of the Airport let a Request for Proposal (RFP) to seek qualified consultants to provide professional engineering services to implement the Airport's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) of multidisciplinary engineering projects related to environmental assessment, architectural, engineering, construction management and land development professional services. The services shall be carried out in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations, ordinances, and policies.

The evaluation committee consisting of two (2) representatives from the Public Works Department, one representative from the Airport, a representative from Engineering & Environmental Services, along with a representative from the Purchasing Department acting as a facilitator met on February 28, 2011, to evaluate and rank the eighteen (18) proposals received

The committee evaluated the firms per the following criteria based on a potential score of 1000 points:

1.	Ability of the Firm and its Professional Personnel	375 points
2.	Firm's Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	350 points
3.	Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County	50 points
4.	Effect of the Firm's Current and Projected Workload	125 points
5.	Minority Business Status	50 points
6.	Location	50 points

Revised 07-18-03 Page 1 of 2

The order of ranking of qualified firms after evaluation of the proposals are as follows:

1	URS CORPORATION SOUTHERN D/B/A URS, TAMPA, FLORIDA	835.44 POINTS
2.	JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., TAMPA, FLORIDA	817.81 POINTS
2. 3.	EACOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC., TAMPA, FLORIDA	814.38 POINTS
4.	THE LPA GROUP INCORPORATED, A UNIT OF MICHAEL BAKER CORP.	807.56 POINTS
5.	AVCON, INC., ORLANDO, FLORIDA	806.88 POINTS
6.	TBE GROUP, INC., D/B/A CARDNO TBE CLEARWATER, FLORIDA	803.13 POINTS
7.	POST, BUCKLEY, SCHUH & JERNIGAN, INC. D/B/A PBS&J, TAMPA, FLORIDA	797.38 POINTS
8.	H. W. LOCHNER, INC., CLEARWATER, FLORIDA	795.00 POINTS
9.	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ORLANDO, FLORIDA	777.50 POINTS
10.	SCHENKEL & SHULTZ, INC., TAMPA, FLORIDA	764.69 POINTS
11.	R. W. ARMSTRONG & ASSOC., INC. TAMPA, FLORIDA	754.13 POINTS
12.	TKDA, TAMPA, FLORIDA	712.56 POINTS
13.	RICONDO & ASSOCIATES, INC., MIAMI, FLORIDA	700.94 POINTS
14.	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES (ESA AIRPORTS) TAMPA,	700.541 011110
17.	FLORIDA	627.19 POINTS
15.	C&S ENGINEERS, INC., ORLANDO, FLORIDA	608.75 POINTS
		560.00 POINTS
16.	RENKER EICH PARKS ARCHITECTS, ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA	
17.	SONEY FM LLC, TAMPA, FLORIDA	510.31 POINTS
18.	COMPASS ENGINEERING & SURVEYING D/B/A DEUEL & ASSOCIATES,	
	CLEARWATER, FLORIDA	505.00 POINTS
	COMPASS ENGINEERING & SURVEYING D/B/A DEUEL & ASSOCIATES,	

At the direction of the Board, staff will begin negotiation with the eight (8) most qualified firms in accordance with County CCNA procedures. Final negotiated agreements will be presented to the Board for consideration at a later date.

Fiscal Impact/Cost/Revenue Summary:

Prior to negotiations, the total estimated aggregate value of this contract is in an amount not to exceed \$4.8 million dollars for the potential four year term of the agreements with eight (8) firms. The County Administrator has delegated authority to increase the upset limits of agreements as long as the negotiated rates remain the same.

Exhibits/Attachments Attached:

Contract Review Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

Revised 07-18-03 Page 2 of 2



PURCHASING DEPARTMENT CONTRACT REVIEW TRANSMITTAL

CATS NO.:

35306

	ER: 101-0030-CN (A	M)	REQ. N	NUMBER:		
TYPE: Purchase Contract Other:			Consti	Construction-Less than \$100,000		
accordance	e with the policy guide for C	Contract Adminis	tration, the attach	ed documents are submitted for re	eview and commer	
				ward to next Review Authority liste cument reflecting the exact wording		
	EMENT: Please enter requiual contract. Estimated Exp			PRODUCT ON s for each consultant selected.	LY 🗌	
EVIEW EQUENCE	REVIEW AUTHORITY	REVIEW DATE	REVIEW SIGNATURE	COMMENTS (Attach Separate page if necessary)	COMMENTS INCORPORATED	
1.	Purchasing Dept. J. Lauro, Director, Amelia McFarlane, Sr. , P.	C11 /8/10	tristailane	highlighted info liet	ow no a	
2.	Requesting Dept. Noah Lagos, Director, Airport, John Holt,	11/15/10	Ma			
	Jeff Noa	J .				
sing Dept]Yes, fund unding.	Jeff Noa please provide below infling for this requisition is	using grant F		nding for this requisition is not		
sing Dept Yes, fund unding. grant fund	Jeff Noa please provide below infling for this requisition is	ust provide Pu				
sing Dept Yes, fund unding. grant fund ocument.	Jeff Noa please provide below infiling for this requisition is ding is being used you make the management Director Attn: Will Davis	using grant Fu			on attached HIGH RISK NOT HIGH RISK	
sing Dept Yes, fund unding. grant fund ocument. 3.	Jeff Noa please provide below infling for this requisition is ding is being used you make the second of the secon	using grant Function $\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}$		e exact clauses that need to be	on attached HIGH RISK NOT HIGH RISK	
sing Dept Yes, fund unding. grant fund ocument. 3.	Jeff Noa please provide below infling for this requisition is ding is being used you make the second of the secon	using grant Function $ z $ and $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $ $ z $	rchasing with the	add Copy of Supple Contr	HIGH RISK NOT HIGH RISK Wet, of a	
sing Dept]Yes, fund unding. grant fund ocument. 3.	please provide below infling for this requisition is ding is being used you make the plant of th	using grant Function $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$	rchasing with the	add Copy of Supple Control FAA experienced required? - Can this week be done by firms already un	HIGH RISK NOT HIGH RISK Wet, of a	

Revised 08/2010

TENTATIVE DATES

RFP Mail Out: TBD

RFP Opening: TBD
Purchasing Director Approval: TBD

PINELLAS COUNTY EVALUATION CRITERIA TABULATION SHEET

TITLE: Engineering Consultant Services for St.Petersburg-Clearwater BID #: 101-0030-CN(AM)

	COMPANY NAME	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL
		Paul Berlage	lvan Fernandez	John Holt	Tom Washburn		
1	URS Corporation Southern d/b/a URS	838.75	825.50	838.75	838.75	3341.75	835.44
2	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.	803.75	803.75	841.25	822.50	3271.25	817.81
3	AECOM Technical Services, Inc	852.50	797.50	773.75	833.75	3257.50	814.38
4	The LPA Group Incorporated, A Unit of Michael Baker Corporation	823.75	801.50	802.50	802.50	3230.25	807.56
5	AVCON, Inc.	803.75	803.75	816.25	803.75	3227.50	806.88
6	TBE Group, Inc.d/b/a Cardno TBE	810.00	800.00	792.50	810.00	3212.50	803.13
7	Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan, Inc. d/b/a PBS&J	811.25	810.75	756.25	811.25	3189.50	797.38
8	H. W. Lochner, Inc.	822.50	767.50	803.75	786.25	3180.00	795.00
9	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	763.75	763.75	782.50	800.00	3110.00	777.50
10	Schenkel & Schultz, Inc.	761.25	750.00	803.75	743.75	3058.75	764.69
11	R. W. Armstrong & Assoc., Inc.	760.75	755.75	750.00	750.00	3016.50	754.13
12	TKDA	726.00	693.00	706.25	725.00	2850.25	712.56
13	Ricondo & Associates, Inc.	692.50	703.75	703.75	703.75	2803.75	700.94
14	Environmental Science Associates (ESA Airports)	622.50	622.50	641.25	622.50	2508.75	627.19
15	C & S Engineers, Inc	600.00	617.50	617.50	600.00	2435.00	608.75
16	Renker, Eich, Parks Architects	541.25	572.50	585.00	541.25	2240.00	560.00
17	Soney FM LLC	490.00	552.50	508.75	490.00	2041.25	510.31
18	Compass Engineering & Surveying d/b/a DEUEL & Associates	500.00	518.75	518.75	482.50	2020.00	505.00